

INFORMATION

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number

CFS#21-00105638

Agency name

Connecticut State Police - CDMCS - Troop I

Agency number

N620

Title, Allegation and Counts

State of Connecticut vs. (Name of accused)

(Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A.

Residence (Town) of accused

Wolcott

Docket number

Address

Wolcott, CT 06716

Date of birth

02/24/1961

The undersigned Prosecuting
Authority of the Superior Court
of the State of Connecticut
charges that:

To be held at (Town)

Derby

Geographical
area
number 5

Court date

Count One — Did commit the offense of:

Falsely Reporting An Incident Concerning Serious Physical Injury Or Death

Continued to

Purpose

Reason

At (Town)

On or about (Date)

In violation of General Statute number

Beacon Falls

** 01/07/2021 & 07/06/2021

53a-180b

Count Two — Did commit the offense of:

Unauthorized Use Of A Computer And Other Computer Offenses Over \$2,500

At (Town)

On or about (Date)

In violation of General Statute number

Beacon Falls

** 01/01/2021 - 01/04/2022

53-451

Count Three — Did commit the offense of:

Tampering With Or Fabricating Physical Evidence

At (Town)

On or about (Date)

In violation of General Statute number

Beacon Falls

** 07/09/2021 - 01/04/2022

53a-155

See other sheet for additional counts

Date 10/11/24

Signed (Prosecuting Authority)

[Signature]

Court Action

Defendant advised of rights before plea

(Judge)

(Date)

Bond

Surety

10 %

Election

(Date)

Cash

CT JY

Attorney Public defender

Guardian

Bond change

Seized property inventory number

| Count | Plea date | Plea | Plea withdrawn | | Verdict finding | Fine | Remit | Additional disposition |
|-------|-----------|------|----------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|------------------------|
| | | | Date | New plea | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Date | Other Court Action | Judge |
|------|--------------------|-------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Receipt number: _____ Cost: IMP NCI Bond information: Bond forfeited Forfeiture vacated Forfeiture vacated and bond reinstated

Application fee - receipt number if paid: _____ Circle one W I Q Program fee - receipt number if paid: _____ Circle one W I Q Probation fee - receipt number if paid: _____ Circle one W I Q

Prosecutor on original disposition: _____ Reporter/monitor on original disposition: _____ Signed (Clerk): _____ Signed (Judge): _____

INFORMATION

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11


STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

| |
|------------------|
| Disposition date |
|------------------|

| | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Police Case number CFS#21-00105638 | Agency name Connecticut State Police - CDMCS - Troop I | Agency number N620 |
| Geographical area number 5 | State of Connecticut vs. (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | |

Additional Counts

| Count Four — Did commit the offense of: | | | Continued to | Purpose | Reason |
|--|--|---|--------------|---------|--------|
| Unlawful Dissemination Of An Intimate Image | | | | | |
| At (Town) Beacon Falls | On or about (Date) ** 01/01/2021 to 01/04/2022 | In violation of General Statute number 53a-189c | | | |
| Count Five — Did commit the offense of: | | | | | |
| Computer Crime 3rd Degree | | | | | |
| At (Town) Beacon Falls | On or about (Date) ** 01/01/2021 to 01/04/2022 | In violation of General Statute number 53a-254 | | | |
| Count Six — Did commit the offense of: | | | | | |
| At (Town) | On or about (Date) ** = Diverse Dates | In violation of General Statute number | | | |
| Count Seven — Did commit the offense of: | | | | | |
| At (Town) | On or about (Date) | In violation of General Statute number | | | |
| Count Eight — Did commit the offense of: | | | | | |
| At (Town) | On or about (Date) | In violation of General Statute number | | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Signed (Prosecuting Authority)  | Printed name of Prosecuting Authority Rebecca Bany | Date signed 10/11/24 |
|---|--|--------------------------------|

Additional Court Action

| Count | Plea date | Plea | Plea withdrawn | | Verdict finding | Fine | Remit | Additional disposition |
|-------|-----------|------|----------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|------------------------|
| | | | Date | New plea | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64b Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| For Court Use Only | |
| Supporting Affidavits sealed | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Police Case number CFS#21-00105638 | Agency name Connecticut State Police - CDMCS - Troop I | Agency number N620 |
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby |
| | | Geographical Area number 5 |

Application For Arrest Warrant

To: A Judge of the Superior Court

The undersigned hereby applies for a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused on the basis of the facts set forth in the: Affidavit Below. Affidavit(s) Attached.

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Date 10/11/24 | Signed (Prosecuting authority) | Type/print name of prosecuting authority Rebecca Bomy |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|

Affidavit

The undersigned affiant, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That this affiant, Detective Michael Moricoli #577 has been a sworn member of the Connecticut State Police (CSP) since May 2008 and is presently assigned to the Central District Major Crime Squad (CDMCS) at Troop I in Bethany, CT, and at all times mentioned herein was acting in his official capacity as such member of said department. This affiant has received training pertaining to Connecticut criminal laws and motor vehicle laws. The facts and circumstances contained herein are the results of the affiant's own investigation and the investigative efforts of other members of the Connecticut State Police. The following facts and circumstances are stated from personal knowledge, observation, and investigative efforts, as well as credible witnesses and information received from other said witnesses.

2. That between January 7, 2021, and March 23, 2022, Deborah (Settineri) Caloustas (D.O.B. 02/24/1961) and [REDACTED] who both resided at [REDACTED] in Beacon Falls, CT, made numerous complaints to investigators from multiple law enforcement agencies, that initially, an unidentified hacker was threatening and harassing both of them.

3. That during this time, both [REDACTED] and Deborah stated to investigators that between 2019 and 2022, their cell phones, a computer laptop, and [REDACTED] Facebook social media account were hacked. They stated various photographs that were stored on their electronic devices and in a Facebook account were somehow extracted from their devices. They received some of these images back onto their devices, which were modified with insulting text remarks on the photographs. [REDACTED] and Deborah presented many photos to investigators that were defaced with cruel remarks such as [REDACTED] etc., and a drawing of a rope-type image around Deborah's neck, which she believed was an indication for her to hang herself. In February 2021, the harassing messages ramped up, with threatening messages and more graphic photos that were stored on [REDACTED] iCloud. Some messages consisted of [REDACTED] photos that depicted [REDACTED] and a woman [REDACTED] dated years ago having [REDACTED] photos. Not all of the photos had a direct connection to [REDACTED] and were random [REDACTED] photos.

(This is page 1 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|---|--|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) Det. Michael Moricoli #577 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] 10/11/24 |

Finding

The foregoing Application for an arrest warrant, and affidavit(s) attached to said Application, having been submitted to and considered by the undersigned, the undersigned finds from said affidavit(s) that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused committed it and, therefore, that probable cause exists for the issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Date and Signature Derby | Signed at (City or town) | On (Date) 10/11/24 | Signed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee Stamoni |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

Eventually, [redacted] noticed that fake Facebook profiles being made with [redacted] name contained [redacted]. Also posted on the fake Facebook accounts were photos of Deborah, with statements saying she was deceased and widowed.

4. That [redacted] and Deborah believed these photographs were sent from [redacted] and possibly from [redacted] who they believed were upset that [redacted] remarried in 2019. After [redacted] remarried, Deborah was bothered that [redacted] had kept her last name since their divorce. Per Deborah's request, [redacted] asked [redacted] to change her last name and offered to pay all expenses for the name change, but she refused to change her last name and this upset Deborah. It was at this time the photographs began to surface, causing [redacted] and Deborah to believe that [redacted] was sending the doctored or edited photographs.

5. That during the early stages of the harassment, [redacted] and Deborah ignored the comments and deleted the photos. Still, the problems persisted, and they attempted to rectify the situation by closing their Facebook account, buying new cell phones, and changing their phone numbers. After a short time, their new cell phones were accessed again, and they continued to receive photographs with disturbing remarks. They initially called the police on January 7, 2021, to report this incident and later called the police on numerous occasions to report additional harassment. Deborah did express fear to police officers that she may be harmed at this time. Deborah also stated to investigators that at times, while she was driving her vehicle, she thought she was being followed.

6. That on April 22, 2021, after meeting with the Beacon Falls Police personnel, Private Investigator [redacted] was hired by [redacted] due to their ongoing cyber hacking, harassing, threatening, and stalking issues that [redacted] and Deborah had been victims of since 2019. [redacted] hired [redacted] to gather enough information to get law enforcement involved and then aid law enforcement during the investigation.

7. That in May 2021, two FBI agents met with [redacted] and Deborah at their residence to discuss their threatening and harassing complaints. After meeting with [redacted] and Deborah, the agents determined their complaint didn't meet the FBI's level of criteria to open a federal investigation.

8. That on June 10, 2021, CSP Cyber-Crime Detective Suzanna Sedenzski received a request from the Beacon Falls Resident Trooper to extract and process two (2) devices in connection with this investigation. Sedenzski received an [redacted] mobile device, which belonged to [redacted], and an iPad Air tablet, which belonged to Deborah. Both devices were extracted, the extractions were processed, and further analyzed for potential evidence by Sedenzski. During Sedenzski's review of Deborah's iPad Air tablet, she observed that this device contained many "marked-up" (edited) versions of the "clean" or original photos on the same device. Sedenzski further found a photo opened up in the Microsoft Paint

(This is page 2 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) | [Signature] #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) | [Signature] 244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | [Signature] | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | [Signature] |
| | Date 10/11/24 | | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

program with the "Brushes" menu highlighted in a red color, depicting a [REDACTED] in front of a mirror while [REDACTED] subject was holding up what appears to be a black tablet device. Sedenzski also found a [REDACTED] photo of a [REDACTED] sitting in a chair looking away from the camera. The aforementioned photo was also taken while the original was opened in the Microsoft Paint program in edit mode. Copies of both photos, being marked up with red ink, were also found on the same device by Sedenzski. This affiant later confirmed in an interview with [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was the [REDACTED] in the photo and the [REDACTED] in both photos was [REDACTED]. Sedenzski and this affiant further found the aforementioned photos, both unmarked and marked up, within the first and second extraction reports of Deborah's iPhone 12. This affiant also confirmed with [REDACTED] that these intimate photos were posted on [REDACTED] Facebook accounts and that some of these accounts were created by someone other than [REDACTED].

9. That on June 24, 2021, this investigation was reassigned to Central District Major Crime Squad (CDMCS) detectives, and this affiant spoke with both [REDACTED] and Deborah, who provided details of their complaint and recent occurrences of harassment they had been receiving. They provided details about the alleged suspect who was [REDACTED]. Detectives later cleared [REDACTED] as being a suspect through their investigation.

10. That on July 2, 2021, this affiant spoke with [REDACTED] and Deborah and scheduled a meeting for them to be interviewed about the incidents of harassment. They stated they had received more harassing messages since the last time they spoke with this affiant. This affiant told [REDACTED] and Deborah that the harassment and threats they received did not appear to be imminent threats of death or serious injury towards them but appeared to be just harassing in nature.

11. That on July 4, 2021, at approximately 1730 hours, [REDACTED] sent this affiant five photos in a text message that contained harassing messages, which included a photo of Deborah that was marked up/edited with purple writing. One of the photos contained a message that read, "Die You Will By Friday," and Friday's date was July 9, 2021. This affiant spoke with [REDACTED] about the five photos he received and told [REDACTED] that since their conversation on July 2, 2021, Deborah had now received death threats.

12. That on July 6, 2021, [REDACTED] was interviewed by CDMCS detectives at CSP Troop I barracks in Bethany, CT, and [REDACTED] revealed the following excerpt during [REDACTED] audio and video recorded interview.

13. That during [REDACTED] interview, [REDACTED] stated in part that hired a private investigator, [REDACTED] in April 2021 to help them with the harassment. When [REDACTED] received harassing emails, text messages, and photographs, [REDACTED] would forward them to [REDACTED]. Sometimes, after [REDACTED] read the harassing messages, they would "just disappear" from [REDACTED] cell phone or computer. [REDACTED] talked about dating other women and going on dating websites after [REDACTED] was divorced. During this time, [REDACTED] exchanged text

(This is page 3 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) | [Signature] #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk - Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] 244 | |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | Date 10/11/24 | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

messages, sent and received photos, and also sexted (A Google inquiry revealed the meaning of sexted is to send someone a sexually explicit message or image by cell phone). [REDACTED] had deleted all this communication and photos from [REDACTED] cell phone, but it was still in [REDACTED] iCloud. One day, [REDACTED] arrived [REDACTED] and Deborah was extremely upset with [REDACTED] because she had seen the photographs of [REDACTED] and other women together which were allegedly sent to her by the hacker. [REDACTED] told her about the photos; some were twelve to thirteen years old and [REDACTED] relationships with other women before [REDACTED] met her. Some were [REDACTED] photos of [REDACTED] and some were of [REDACTED] on dates with other women. [REDACTED] was single for over twenty years after [REDACTED] divorce. [REDACTED] felt this incident had ruined Deborah's demeanor and [REDACTED] relationship with her. [REDACTED] felt [REDACTED] was the cause of this harassment because of the compromising photographs of [REDACTED] discovered on [REDACTED] iCloud.

14. That during [REDACTED] interview, [REDACTED] stated that all the contents of [REDACTED] were deleted by a hacker. [REDACTED] stated this was [REDACTED] fourth cell phone during the harassment, and [REDACTED] changed all [REDACTED] passwords each time [REDACTED] got a new phone. During this interview, [REDACTED] took out a notebook containing all [REDACTED] identifications and passwords for logging into [REDACTED] electronic devices. Deborah had told [REDACTED] she didn't want to know [REDACTED] IDs and passwords, nor did she want to go into [REDACTED] cell phones. [REDACTED] believed Deborah was completely honest with [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] had never seen her go near this notebook. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] usually kept the notebook in [REDACTED] office or a drawer somewhere in [REDACTED] house and that Deborah would've had access to it.

15. That on July 6, 2021, Deborah was interviewed by CDMCS detectives at CSP Troop I barracks in Bethany, CT, and she revealed the following excerpts during her audio and video recorded interview.

16. That during Deborah's interview, she began crying and stated she was petrified because she had received death threats in a text message, and the hackers said it would happen by Friday, July 9, 2021. She stated she hadn't been out in months because of the totality of the harassment.

17. That during Deborah's interview, Deborah believed it was "highly professional" people, associated with [REDACTED] family, who were responsible for this harassment and that these people were surveilling her and telling her where she was going. Deborah was upset one night before this interview and went for a ride in her vehicle. The hackers messaged her and asked her why she was driving around in circles. Deborah felt they were surveilling her in the dark and didn't know where they were. She stated they followed her and [REDACTED] when they first met with PI [REDACTED] but she never saw the people following her. Deborah stated that whether she was walking or driving, she always looked to her front, back, and side. She stated [REDACTED] got upset by this and told her to stop doing it, but she couldn't because "they" told her "they were going to kill her by Friday," on July 9, 2021. Before this, she had received more threats, and "they" told her she was going to be in the ground with worms and maggots. Throughout the interview Deborah continued to talk about these death threats.

(This is page 4 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|--|---|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) Det. [Signature] - #577 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] 1244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

18. That during Deborah's interview, Deborah stated she emailed one of [REDACTED] whose risqué photos were on [REDACTED] Facebook account in March 2021, and [REDACTED] had seen them on his account. Deborah stated the [REDACTED] told her she knew about the risqué photos, including the ones of [REDACTED] and emailed [REDACTED] to take them down from [REDACTED] Facebook account. Deborah stated she wasn't aware of these risqué photographs until they were sent to her. Deborah had back-and-forth correspondence with [REDACTED] and told her that a lady doesn't do things like that and that she should learn a lesson from it. Deborah stated she was able to access [REDACTED] Facebook page containing the risqué photos and had been doing everything possible to take [REDACTED] Facebook page down, but was unable to. Initially, Deborah told PI [REDACTED] and detectives that she didn't know how to log into [REDACTED] Facebook account, but then later stated she could access [REDACTED] Facebook account by inputting the phone number, and the password auto-populated. It was later revealed that she was being deceptive.

19. That during Deborah's interview, Deborah stated she and [REDACTED] decided to keep one of her old cell phones, a blue-colored iPhone 12, in a bag in the trunk of her vehicle because if either one of them needed a cell phone, they would have one. One day, [REDACTED] was taking items from the trunk of her car while inside the garage, and the bag fell out of her vehicle. Deborah's phone had fallen out of the bag, and [REDACTED] didn't see it. She stated this phone was left on the ground in the garage for days and was continually run over by their vehicles. Deborah stated there was a rock stuck in the tread of her tire, which resulted in the tire and the rock crushing her phone.

20. That on July 12, 2021, Detective Sedenzski picked up Deborah's blue-colored iPhone 12 mobile device with a broken screen from the CDMCS Troop I office in furtherance of this investigation. The device belonged to Deborah, and was taken on consent by this affiant on July 9, 2021. The blue-colored iPhone 12 was taken to the FBI New Haven Division CART (Computer Analysis Response Team) office in an attempt to repair the broken screen from allegedly being driven over by a vehicle(s) in [REDACTED] and Deborah's garage. It was later determined by FBI CART Master Digital Forensic Examiner [REDACTED] that due to the construction and the damage sustained by the phone, the repair was not possible. Therefore, no extraction was conducted. [REDACTED] noted that upon examining the damage to the device, the damage appeared to be consistent with being inflicted by a ball-peen hammer, versus being run over, intentionally in order to avoid being extracted for its information.

21. That on July 13, 2021, Detective Sedenzski and Detective Brian Connolly #431 met with [REDACTED] and Deborah at their residence. Deborah showed these detectives a marked-up photo of [REDACTED] leaving [REDACTED] in Milford, CT, on July 2, 2021. [REDACTED] looked at the photo and said that "they" must have followed them to [REDACTED] on that day. [REDACTED] then stated [REDACTED] remembered Deborah had stayed in the

(This is page 5 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) | [Signature] - #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) | |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | Date | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | Date |
| [Signature] | 10/11/24 | [Signature] | 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Woicott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

vehicle when [REDACTED] entered the store. Due to ongoing complaints of harassment and threats reported by Deborah, Sedenzski received a light green colored iPhone 12 device belonging to Deborah for later extraction and processing, which the downloaded information revealed numerous photos on the device marked up with a different color but what appeared to be the same handwriting, as well as the original photos. These photos included marked-up/edited images of "ex-friends" or associates of [REDACTED] as well as photos of emails sent to [REDACTED] displayed on a computer screen. Also found were marked-up/edited images of [REDACTED] photos as well as originals of unknown females and an email sent by Deborah [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] regarding the [REDACTED] photos that appeared on [REDACTED] Facebook page.

22. That on July 16, 2021, at approximately 1000 hours, Detective Connolly arrived at [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] Milford, CT, and based on the photo that Deborah received, he was able to determine where Deborah's [REDACTED] SUV was parked on July 2, 2021. Detective Connolly then obtained surveillance camera video of the vehicle and determined where the photo was taken. Detective Connolly and Detective Sedenzski later watched the surveillance video recorded on July 2, 2021, between 1345 hours and 1349 hours. These detectives observed that there was no one parked on the passenger side of their vehicle and that the photo was taken from inside Deborah's vehicle. Deborah was the only person inside her vehicle at that time. Later, after reviewing the video and extracted information from Deborah's iPhone 12, detectives determined, based on this evidence, that Deborah had taken this photo, marked it up, and then sent it to herself [REDACTED] as if it was from the person harassing/stalking them.

23. That on September 30, 2021, at approximately 1645 hours, this affiant arrived at the residence of [REDACTED] and Deborah. This affiant had spoken with [REDACTED] and Deborah earlier in the day at approximately 1621 hours regarding a text message she received on her cell phone that contained a photo of herself sitting inside the front passenger seat of [REDACTED] while it was parked in a parking space in front of [REDACTED] in Seymour, CT, on September 29, 2021, at approximately 1430 hours. Deborah stated the hacker must have walked by the [REDACTED] while she was in it and taken a photo of her. After Deborah signed a Consent To Search And Examine form for her iPhone 12 to be extracted for its information, she gave this phone and its password to this affiant.

24. That on September 30, 2021, at approximately 1810 hours, [REDACTED] and this affiant arrived at the business plaza at [REDACTED] in Seymour, CT, and went inside [REDACTED]. This affiant spoke with the owner to see if they could review their exterior surveillance camera recordings pointing toward the direction of the front parking lot that captured the parking space where [REDACTED] had parked [REDACTED] on September 29, 2021. [REDACTED] and this affiant reviewed surveillance video from September 29, 2021, from 1400 hours, including their arrival time at 1429 hours, and up until they departed. There was a silver SUV parked next to the passenger side of the [REDACTED]. This affiant did not observe anyone

(This is page 6 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|--|---|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) [Signature] - #577 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] 2244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

walk past Deborah while she sat in the passenger seat of her [REDACTED]. This affiant then attempted to access Deborah's cell phone with the password she had provided this affiant at her residence, and the password didn't work. This affiant duplicated Deborah's photo for [REDACTED] to demonstrate that it was probable that Deborah had taken a "selfie" photo of herself while sitting inside [REDACTED] [REDACTED] called Deborah on [REDACTED] cell phone and told her about the password not working, but she stated she didn't know why that happened. Based on the evidence, this affiant believed the only plausible explanation was that Deborah had taken this photo of herself and presented it to [REDACTED] and this affiant.

25. That PI [REDACTED] knew Deborah was responsible for the harassment towards [REDACTED] and as a ruse, she met with [REDACTED] and Deborah at their residence on November 11, 2021, to discuss a plan to catch "the hacker." [REDACTED] suggested that [REDACTED] and Deborah allow her to place surveillance cameras inside their home, and they agreed. One focused on the desktop computer downstairs, and one on the kitchen island. Each night, [REDACTED] and Deborah would place all their electronic devices (cell phones, iPads, laptops) in front of the camera on the kitchen island. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] and Deborah not to touch their phones between 2300 hours and 0700 hours. The cameras would be set to record any motion from 2300 hours to 0700 hours (knowing most items were sent/received between 0400 hours and 0600 hours), including any light change from the screens of these devices. The surveillance cameras were set up and activated on November 11, 2021. Between November 11, 2021 and November 28, 2021, no harassing messages attributed to the hacker came through on [REDACTED] and Deborah's electronic devices. On November 29, 2021, the hacker sent a message at 1900 hours (outside of recording time and when no devices were in front of the camera). On November 30, 2021, at 1000 hours, another message came through, again outside the recording time and without devices in front of the camera. For the past year and a half, the messages and hacking occurred between 0300 hours and 0600 hours the vast majority of the time, but since these cameras were set to record between 2300 hours and 0700 hours, the times changed to outside the recording times.

26. That on December 24, 2021, at 0414 hours and 0527 hours, Deborah was captured on the kitchen surveillance camera touching her and [REDACTED] cell phones to wake the screen. She picked up [REDACTED] phone, unlocked it, and appeared to be texting. She continued looking through it, scrolling and touching the screen numerous times. Deborah looked at both screens and left the kitchen. Deborah did not notify [REDACTED] or CSP detectives that she accessed [REDACTED] cell phone especially after she was told not to.

27. That on January 4, 2022, Deborah was first captured on surveillance camera at 0355 hours, then again at 0530 hours, picking up [REDACTED] phone, unlocking it, and sending messages. She continued looking through it, scrolling and touching the screen numerous times. Deborah remained in view of the camera on [REDACTED] phone until 05:32:19 hours, when she walked off camera to the end where the camera was plugged in. At 05:32:38 hours, the camera was unplugged and remained without power

(This is page 7 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) | [Signature] - #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) | [Signature] - 244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | Date | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | Date |
| [Signature] | 10/11/24 | [Signature] | 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

until 0715 hours, when it was reconnected. During the period of the camera not having power, [redacted] phone received an image of Deborah's [redacted] marked up with the words "kill too", and a text asking if [redacted] saw the photo of the [redacted] and referenced sexual things. Deborah did not notify [redacted] or CSP detectives that she accessed [redacted] cell phone especially after she was told not to.

28. That on January 4, 2022, PI [redacted] met with [redacted] and Deborah to show them she had evidence that proved Deborah had accessed both of hers and [redacted] phones and sent messages. [redacted] showed Deborah and [redacted] this video from December 24, 2021, which showed a pair of hands, [redacted] phone unlocking and text messages being sent. Deborah denied accessing [redacted] phone and kept saying she could not unlock [redacted] phone. Upon her repeated denial, [redacted] slowed the video down to frame-by-frame shots, showing Deborah unlocking the phone. Deborah then told [redacted] she had no idea how it opened, that it just magically opened; it was the first and only time it had happened. When [redacted] asked Deborah what she was doing on the phone, she told [redacted] she had walked by, and it "just opened". She told [redacted] she started texting herself from [redacted] phone and deleted "horrible things" from [redacted] phone to save [redacted] from having to see them. Deborah also repeatedly proclaimed that she did not know the passcode to [redacted] phone, so she could not have opened it, which contradicted the video evidence.

29. That [redacted] next showed Deborah and [redacted] the video from January 4, 2022, which captured Deborah picking up [redacted] phone, unlocking it and using it for nearly two (2) minutes. [redacted] stated [redacted] was aghast by this discovery, and Deborah rotated between outright denying doing anything and crying. Deborah eventually told [redacted] again that [redacted] phone just opened for her and that she was only on the phone to delete "horrible things" to save [redacted]. [redacted] asked Deborah why she texted in one video, and again, Deborah told her she was sending these items from [redacted] phone to herself so she could delete them from [redacted] phone. Deborah told [redacted] that she walked off camera and unplugged the camera so she could this.

30. That PI [redacted] took [redacted] iPhone from [redacted] and looked at the passcode settings on the device. [redacted] observed there were two Face ID's stored in his phone as an unlocking mechanism. [redacted] stated [redacted] took the phone, and [redacted] face unlocked it. [redacted] then took [redacted] phone and locked it. [redacted] then held [redacted] phone in front of Deborah's face, and the phone unlocked. [redacted] repeated this process with Deborah five (5) separate times, and each time Deborah's face unlocked [redacted] phone. Deborah denied having any knowledge of how her face was stored in [redacted] phone.

31. That on January 4, [redacted], when it was revealed to [redacted] that Deborah was responsible for the harassment [redacted] experienced, [redacted] separated from her, moved out of their residence, and resided at a hotel. [redacted] from Deborah.

(This is page 8 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|--|--|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) [Signature] #577 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] 244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Blk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Woicott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

32. That on January 5, 2022, [redacted] and [redacted] met with Detective Sedenzski and this affiant at her office in Naugatuck, CT. [redacted] and [redacted] informed these detectives of the details of her meeting with [redacted] and Deborah. [redacted] showed these detectives the videos that captured Deborah using [redacted] iPhone on December 24, 2021, and January 4, 2022. [redacted] provided copies of the videos that captured Deborah on [redacted] phone to this affiant. Sedenzski and this affiant reviewed these videos and that it was consistent with in the paragraphs to what [redacted] disclosed in the earlier interview.

33. That on January 6, 2022, at approximately 1400 hours, Deborah was interviewed by Detective Sedenzski and this affiant at CSP Troop I barracks in Bethany, CT, and she revealed the following excerpt during her audio and video recorded interview.

34. That during Deborah's interview, Deborah admitted to having access to [redacted] cell phone on December 24, 2021, and January 4, 2022. She stated she didn't have [redacted] passcode and was going to tell [redacted] that she was able to access [redacted] cell phone on those two days but had never gotten around to it. She admitted it was her instinct to unplug the surveillance cameras, to avoid detection, and that it was wrong of her to have done this. Deborah felt it was odd that [redacted] phone opened for her, and she looked at the phone and observed "horrible stuff." Deborah stated she looked through [redacted] phone and believed she was deleting files from it when she thought she was sending the files to her email account. Deborah stated she looked at her email and realized she had never received anything, so she must have deleted the files. Deborah stated the photos were so heinous and disgusting that she didn't want to hold the cell phone up to the camera so [redacted] could see the photos. Deborah stated she and [redacted] met with [redacted] on January 4, 2022, and [redacted] held [redacted] phone up to her face, and it opened. Deborah stated she didn't tell [redacted] about having access to [redacted] cell phone because she was protecting [redacted] and wanted [redacted] to have a nice Christmas. Deborah didn't have an excuse or reason for not contacting [redacted] and/or these detectives and informing them that she was able to access and use [redacted] cell phone to send files to herself and delete images from [redacted] phone. Deborah knew she wasn't authorized to do so, but accessed [redacted] cell phone without [redacted] authorization. Deborah did not reveal to [redacted] and CSP detectives that she accessed [redacted] electronic devices until after she was confronted about being captured on video and audio recording doing so. Deborah admitted she accessed [redacted] electronic device and deleted images from it.

35. That during Deborah's interview, Detective Sedenzski told Deborah that the evidence, including the metadata and geolocation data, presented to her on this day, January 6, 2022, was just a fraction of all the evidence they had on her. She also told Deborah that all the evidence taken from their devices did not prove that another person was hacking or accessing their accounts. After being confronted with the evidence, Deborah had asked these detectives if they felt she was responsible for all this harassment, and that based on all of the evidence against her, these detectives told her they proved she was responsible for it.

(This is page 9 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) | [Signature] #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) | [Signature] 244 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | Date | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | Date |
| [Signature] | 10/11/24 | [Signature] | 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

36. That during Deborah's interview, Detective Sedenszki told Deborah that she extracted the information from her iPad Air device in June 2021 and the extraction contained multiple images taken with an iPhone 12 device running iOS software version 14.4.2 at this time. Some of the images contained location data and were geo-tagged with location listings at the [REDACTED] in Cancun, Mexico. The images taken in Cancun had date stamps on or around April 9, 2021. [REDACTED] and Deborah were on vacation in Cancun in April 2021. Sedenszki told Deborah she further observed images taken of a computer screen depicting open emails sent to [REDACTED]. Some of these photos were marked up/edited in April 2021 while they were both vacationing in Cancun during that time frame. These emails contained location data with coordinates for Cancun, Mexico and metadata revealed that some of the images were further modified on May 5, 2021, and May 21, 2021. Many images were drawn over with red Microsoft Paint and used explicit language. Sedenszki observed that the iPad Air device contained many marked up/edited versions of the clean or original photos which were also located on this device. Deborah's iPhone 12 device was later in custody at the FBI being reconstructed because Deborah stated it was driven over by a vehicle inside the garage at her residence. The extraction showed that Deborah's iPad Air device had synced with her iPhone 12 device and transferred files to her iPad Air device.

37. That during Deborah's interview, detectives told Deborah that Detective Connolly had gone to [REDACTED] on July 16, 2021, and obtained video footage from [REDACTED] surveillance camera system from when she and [REDACTED] had gone there on July 2, 2021. Sedenszki told Deborah that her iPhone 12 was later extracted and revealed the following, which included the metadata from the [REDACTED] images found on her iPhone. Metadata is data collected when a photo is taken on an electronic device. This data consists of the date and time when a photo was taken on a camera or smartphone and information that was stored as part of the image file itself, including geolocation (longitude and latitude coordinates) showing where the photo was taken. It also includes the make and model of the camera, the image resolution, the operating system, and various photographic data, including exposure and shutter speed. Deborah was told that the metadata of the four [REDACTED] photos, with one photo being marked up/edited, extracted from her phone revealed the photos were taken with an iPhone 12 with operating system version 14.6 on July 2, 2021, at 1347 hours and were not geotagged with its location data. The extraction of [REDACTED] and Deborah's iPhone 12s on July 13, 2021, revealed that their phones had an operating system version 14.6. Sedenszki told Deborah that she compared these photos to the [REDACTED] video of her and [REDACTED] being at this business on July 2, 2021, from approximately 1345 hours to 1349 hours. Sedenszki presented the photos found on Deborah's iPhone to her and then told her the date and times of the photos on her phone matched the date and times observed on the [REDACTED] surveillance camera videos. She told Deborah, based on the video recordings, that no one was near their vehicle at this time. Deborah was asked why both the original photo and the marked-up/edited photo were found on her phone. Deborah stated, "I don't know how to explain it."

(This is page 10 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | |
|---|--|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) <i>[Signature]</i> #577 |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) 57-249 |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 10/11/24 |
| Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

38. That on March 25, 2022, at approximately 0930 hours, this affiant arrived at [redacted] Investigations, located at [redacted] in Naugatuck, CT, and met with PI [redacted] provided this affiant with a sworn written statement that included the following excerpts: [redacted] stated there were anti-Semitic attacks on [redacted] Jewish friends, and Nazi references and other remarks made to friends and family members sent to [redacted] through text messages, emails, and postings on social media. There were fake Facebook pages made about [redacted] with [redacted] pictures and hideous references to friends. [redacted] ignored them after numerous correspondences to Facebook went ignored. [redacted] remembered lamenting that some friends [redacted] called ignored [redacted] and never responded. [redacted] stated it was around Christmas 2021, and [redacted] was very depressed that four or five good friends ignored [redacted] efforts. A [redacted] emailed [redacted] and asked if [redacted] was ok because [redacted] friend had called and texted [redacted] but didn't receive a response. Afterward, [redacted] checked [redacted] phone and found that many of [redacted] friends were blocked, some contacts were erased, and many had vile comments on their contact page.

39. That during [redacted] interview, [redacted] provided an itemized list of the financial damages [redacted] incurred for the crimes committed by Deborah that totaled \$67,428.75. These monetary damages were comprised of legal fees, accounting fees, [redacted] private investigator fees, a car repair, IT technician fees, cost of purchasing and installing security cameras, therapy sessions, handyman fees, cost of purchasing four new phones, cost of purchasing three new laptop computers, cost of a laptop computer taken from residence, cost to replace discarded items per Deborah, and hotel expenses for [redacted] leaving their residence after Deborah was identified as the hacker.

40. That on July 18, 2023, this affiant arrived at [redacted] Investigations to interview [redacted] about her entire investigation involving [redacted] and Deborah that commenced on April 21, 2021. During the interview, in which [redacted] provided this affiant with a sworn written statement, the following was disclosed: [redacted] stated on April 29, 2021, she took written statements from [redacted] and Deborah regarding their complaint of the harassment they experienced from the hacker and that they both wanted [redacted] to find the person(s) who were responsible for it. On April 30, 2021, Deborah called [redacted] at approximately 1100 hours, when she was not with [redacted] and begged [redacted] not to go to law enforcement or get law enforcement involved in any way. [redacted] was very confused, as it had not been twenty-four (24) hours prior that she had spoken with [redacted] and Deborah together, and they were adamant they wanted justice for what they had endured. Deborah told [redacted] that she didn't want her to go to law enforcement because "she didn't want to be the reason that [redacted] never speaks to [redacted] again."

41. That Detective Sedenszki's and this affiant's investigation revealed that Deborah (Settineri) Caloutas was responsible for the hacking and harassment caused to [redacted] by accessing a computer (iPhone, iPad, etc.) to delete and manipulate [redacted] electronic files and photos and post intimate

(This is page 11 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) <i>[Signature]</i> #577 | | |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) <i>[Signature]</i> 244 | |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 10/11/24 | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Woicott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

images on █████ Facebook accounts. Based on the information previously revealed about Deborah in this affidavit, from the beginning of the investigation until January 2022, █████ incurred over \$67,000.00 in monetary expenses that █████ had spent in an attempt to stop the hacking, █████ attempt to reveal the identity of the hacker, the purchasing of new electronic devices, and the seeking of treatment on the mental toll this harassment had taken on █████. In July 2022, █████ suffered a heart attack, which █████ felt was caused by the stress █████ dealt with due to Deborah's criminal acts.

42. Wherefore, based on facts and circumstances of this investigation, this affiant believes there is probable cause to show that Deborah (Settineri) Caloutas (D.O.B. 02/24/1961) did in fact, violate the following Connecticut General Statutes, and that an arrest warrant be issued for the following crimes:

C.G.S. 53a-180b, Falsely Reporting An Incident Concerning Serious Physical Injury Or Death (Class D Felony). Element #1 - Deborah committed the crime of falsely reporting an incident in the 2nd Degree. Element #2 - Detectives eventually determined through their investigation that Deborah was responsible for the hacking and harassment she caused to █████. Knowing she was responsible for this, Deborah made an official complaint to CDMCS detectives on July 6, 2021, and stated that she was in fear for her life because the hacker notified her that she would be dead by Friday, July 9, 2021 (Impending occurrence).

C.G.S. 53-451, Unauthorized Use Of A Computer And Other Computer Offenses (Over \$2,500 in damages) (Class D Felony). Element #1 - It was proven Deborah had access to █████ cell phone, which is a computer. A computer is any device that accepts input from a user, performs calculations on that input, and provides an output to the user. Smartphones and tablets are considered computers. Element #2 - Deborah was not given permission and authority from █████ to have used █████ cell phone and committed these criminal acts. Element #3 - She intended to permanently alter and delete files, contact information, and photos on █████ cell phone when she accessed it. Deborah admitted she was sending files from █████ cell phone to her cell phone so she could delete them from █████ cell phone. Element #4 - The financial damages █████ incurred for Deborah's crimes exceeded \$2,500.00 (\$67,000.00), making it a felony.

C.G.S. CGS 53a-155, Tampering With Or Fabricating Physical Evidence (Class D Felony). Element #1 - Deborah knew there was a criminal investigation conducted by law enforcement because Deborah first filed a complaint with the Beacon Falls Resident Trooper's office on March 16, 2021, and June 10, 2021. Deborah later filed a complaint with CDMCS detectives on July 6, 2021. Deborah made these complaints so she knew a criminal investigation was being conducted. Element #2 - Deborah altered, destroyed, concealed, and removed files/photos (physical evidence) from her and █████ electronic devices. Element #3 - Deborah did this to impair their verity or availability in a criminal investigation with the intent to deceive criminal investigators. Deborah didn't want Detective

(This is page 12 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) [Signature] #577 | | |
| Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) [Signature] #244 | | |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**

www.jud.ct.gov

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

Sedenszki to see any evidence of documents, photos, or files on her iPhone 12 because she had consented for her electronic devices to be extracted by Sedenszki. Based on FBI CART Master Digital Forensic Examiner [REDACTED] professional experience, he stated the damage to Deborah's cell phone was consistent with one inflicted by a ball-peen hammer. Deborah admitted to using [REDACTED] cell phone and sending files and photos from [REDACTED] cell phone to her cell phone so she could delete these files and photos from [REDACTED] cell phone. Deborah didn't have permission or the authority to delete this evidence from [REDACTED] cell phone.

C.G.S. 53a-189, Unlawful Dissemination Of An Intimate Image (Class D Felony by interactive computer). Element #1 - Deborah disseminated intimate photos of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and posted these intimate images on [REDACTED] Facebook account and other [REDACTED] Facebook accounts that he didn't create. **Element #2 -** Deborah intentionally accessed [REDACTED] iCloud account and copied these intimate photos of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Detective

Sedenszki's extraction of Deborah's electronic devices revealed that these original photos and the marked-up/edited photos of the originals were found on Deborah's cell phone. These photos were posted on [REDACTED] Facebook account. There were other Facebook accounts, not created by [REDACTED], that contained these posted photos. Deborah admitted she had access to [REDACTED] Facebook page containing these photos. **Element #3 -** [REDACTED] never gave permission or authority for Deborah to access [REDACTED] iCloud, copy these intimate images, and post them on [REDACTED] Facebook pages. **Element #4 -** [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] suffered harm as a result of such dissemination. Other people observed these photos on [REDACTED] Facebook accounts and told [REDACTED] about it.

And C.G.S. 53a-254, Computer Crime 3rd Degree (Class D Felony). Element #1 - It was proven Deborah had access to [REDACTED] cell phone, which is a computer. Smartphones and tablets are considered computers. A computer is any device that accepts input from a user, performs calculations on that input, and provides an output to the user. **Element #2 -** Deborah was not given permission and authority from [REDACTED] to have used [REDACTED] cell phone and committed these acts. **Element #3 -** Deborah knew she wasn't authorized to access [REDACTED] cell phones, electronic devices, and [REDACTED] iCloud account. Deborah caused an unauthorized display when [REDACTED] retrieved [REDACTED] personal contacts, such as photos displayed, emojis displayed, and the status of contacts (the contact's phone number was blocked from receiving calls and text messages). Deborah also, without authorization, intentionally tampered with, altered, took data, and deleted data from [REDACTED] cell phones. **Element #4 -** Due to Deborah's criminal acts, [REDACTED] had to purchase four new cell phones to replace the old phones in an attempt to stop the continued hacking into [REDACTED] cell phones. The cost of these cell phones was \$1,600.00. [REDACTED] also had to replace the laptop computers that Deborah had compromised. [REDACTED] purchased three new laptop computers, one of which was for Deborah, that cost \$3,300.00. The total cost of these replacement electronic devices amounted to \$4,900.00. The value of the damaged property exceeded the

(This is page 13 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Date 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) [Signature] #571 | | |
| Jurat 10/11/2024 | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | | |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) [Signature] | Date 10/11/24 |

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rev. 3-11
C.G.S. § 54-2a
Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT**
www.jud.ct.gov



| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) (Settineri) Caloutas, Deborah, A. | Residence (Town) of accused Wolcott | Court to be held at (Town) Derby | Geographical Area number 5 |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|

Affidavit - Continued

\$1,000.00 threshold for Computer Crime in the 3rd Degree.

43. That this application has not been presented to any other judge or court.

(This is page 14 of a 14 page Affidavit.)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Date | 10/11/2024 | Signed (Affiant) |  #577 |
| Jurat | Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date) 10/11/2024 | Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissioner of Superior Court, Notary Public) |  |
| Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) | Date 10/11/24 | Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial Referee) | Date 10/11/24 |